


The Royal College of Emergency Medicine

Best Practice Guideline

The Patient who Absconds



June 2018

Summary of recommendations

Emergency departments should prioritise the clinical assessment of patients at high risk of absconding.

- A key action to be performed as soon as risk of absconding has been identified is to undertake a capacity assessment.
- Emergency departments should have written guidance detailing specific measures which may be activated to prevent absconding.
- Emergency departments should have a specific form for detailing a patient's physical features, if at high risk of absconding.
- Emergency departments should have written guidance on when it is appropriate to contact hospital security and the Police Service for patients who abscond.
- Any children who abscond with or without an accompanying adult should trigger local safeguarding procedures.
- Emergency departments should record the number of patients who abscond and those cases in which the Police Service have been contacted.

Scope

This guideline has been developed to assist Emergency Physicians and healthcare managers in the management of patients who abscond from the Emergency Department (ED). The guideline offers recommendations for the prevention and management of absconders in the Emergency Department setting. In this document '*absconding*' is defined as a patient who has left the department unexpectedly with their assessment or treatment only partially completed, without the knowledge of clinical staff. Some patients who abscond may present a risk to themselves whilst others may not. This guideline does not refer to those patients who 'Did Not Wait', who 'Left without Being Seen', or who take their own 'self-discharge'.

Box 1: Definitions

Did Not Wait / Left without being seen- left before assessment by a decision-making clinician

Left Before Treatment – left before treatment but has been assessed by a decision-making clinician

Self-Discharge – left ED after informing staff

Absconds – left ED at any time without informing ED staff and is at risk of harm to self or others either through neglect or deliberate means

Reason for development

Patients who abscond from the Emergency Department often pose a dilemma for clinical staff as to what is the most appropriate course of action to take and how far their 'duty of care' extends.

Introduction

The Emergency Department differs from in-patient wards and psychiatric facilities in that it is a public place. There is little or no evidence in the literature accurately characterising those patients who abscond from the emergency department; however particular patient groups involved may include those with mental health issues and those with a wish to avoid contact with statutory agencies e.g. patients with inflicted injuries. Accurate data characterising and quantifying the numbers of patients who abscond is difficult to find, since these patients are often classified as 'Did Not Wait'.

Predicting who might Abscond

Being able to predict who might abscond following initial triage allows measures to be put into place to try to prevent absconding and also prompts planning for the eventuality that a patient may abscond. Box 2 describes factors which may be associated with absconding; however, they generally have low specificity.

Box 2: Absconding Factors

Verbalising wish to abscond	High suicide risk
Previously absconded	Quiet / withdrawn Unaccompanied
Not from locality	Not willing to engage with staff
Intoxication	Agitated / angry / distressed
Frustration in delay to assessment	Psychotic symptoms
Brought to ED against own wishes	Lack of insight
Has external commitments/ stressors	Delirium / dementia
Patient / family lack insight into condition	Alcohol or drug dependence

Prevention of Absconding

It should be assumed that a patient has capacity to leave the emergency department until proven otherwise.

Practical steps which may be possible after identifying a patient who has a high risk of absconding include:

1. Placing the patient in a location which facilitates observation.
2. Prioritising early clinical assessment if they are a potential risk to themselves.
3. Perform a capacity assessment; does this patient at this time have the capacity to make a decision to leave the ED without being seen? Be aware that the patient's capacity to decide this may fluctuate. Please see RCEM guidance on the Mental Capacity Act (MCA).
4. Allocating a member of staff to observe the patient and try to engage with them (this may or may not include security staff).
5. If a patient wishes to leave, establish why the patient wants to leave and try to address their concerns. If the patient is adamant they wish to leave and they have capacity to decide this then they should be managed as per local 'self-discharge' policy.
6. For patients with a high risk of absconding it is recommended that a standard form is used to list a description of the patient's physical features should this be required by the police or hospital security in the eventuality of the patient actually absconding (a local example is given in Appendix 1).

What to do if a patient tries to abscond

Security staff can only be asked to restrain or forcibly bring a patient back to the ED if they lack capacity or if it is felt the patient is mentally ill and requires a mental health act assessment. In an emergency where there has been no chance to assess the patient's capacity but there is a significant risk of harm, a patient may be restrained or brought back by force effectively under common law (see separate MCA guidance).

If a patient who lacks capacity requires ongoing restraint to prevent him/her from leaving or, in order to provide emergency treatment deemed to be in their best

interests, rapid tranquilisation maybe an option if all other efforts have failed. Emergency Departments should have a protocol for rapid tranquilisation.

What to do if a patient has absconded

If a patient absconds who is felt not to have capacity, and is at risk either of self-harm or deterioration of their condition, then departments should activate a search of hospital premises

Departments should have a policy for when to call security and what it is expected security will do to search for the patient.

ED staff should try to contact the patient and relatives by phone.

When to contact the Police Service

This guidance does not cover those occasions when the ED has a duty to contact the police service (e.g. knife wounds, gunshot wounds and serious crimes) or when the police service need to be contacted as a result of a criminal act committed in the emergency department or the contact of relatives of seriously ill patients.

The police service do not have the power to bring patients back to the emergency department (ED) against their will unless they are under arrest (i.e. have committed a crime) or have been placed under section 136 (authorises a police officer to remove a person to a place of safety if he believes that person is suffering from a mental illness). Section 135 allows a police officer to gain access to a patient who is believed to be mentally disordered but who is not in a public place (requires warrant from JP and an Approved Mental Health Professional, AMHP).

Before contacting the police service for patients who have absconded from the ED it is recommended that the following criteria should all be present:

- There exists a real and substantial risk to the patient if they are not brought back to the ED for medical assessment and/or treatment.
- The risk is such that action needs to be taken with urgency.
- Efforts to contact the patient by telephone have failed.
- No other person or service is able to facilitate the return of the patient e.g. GP, SW, parent, relative.
- Both the nurse in charge and the senior doctor on duty are in agreement that contacting the police is the correct course of action.

In the case of children (<18yrs) who have absconded from the ED then the threshold will generally be considered to be lower for calling for help from the police service. Any children who abscond with or without an accompanying adult should be considered a safeguarding concern unless evidence to the contrary exists; local safeguarding procedures should be followed.

The threshold will also be lower for those patients in whom there is reasonable evidence that they lack capacity or who may be considered vulnerable (e.g. those with dementia).

It is recommended that all cases of patients who abscond and are reported to the police service are subject to local audit or incident reporting.

It is recommended that all cases of patients who abscond and are reported to the police service are subject to local audit or incident reporting.

Patients who return

Patients who have absconded but who either return voluntarily to the emergency department or are brought back by the police service should be considered high risk for further episodes of absconding and their clinical assessment prioritised.

Clinicians should be mindful that after a period of absconding the patient's condition may have changed for a variety reasons (e.g. ingestion alcohol or drugs) and previously instituted management plans may need to be reviewed in light of the new clinical assessment following the patient's return. These patients should be seen by the most senior doctor in the emergency department.

Authors

James France

Catherine Hayhurst

First published in May 2013, revised June 2018

Acknowledgements

Dorothy Apakama

Review

Usually within three years or sooner if important information becomes available.

Conflicts of Interest

None

Disclaimers

The College recognises that patients, their situations, Emergency Departments and staff all vary. This guideline cannot cover all possible scenarios. The ultimate responsibility for the interpretation and application of this guideline, the use of current information and a patient's overall care and wellbeing resides with the treating clinician.

Research Recommendations

Risk factors associated with patients absconding from emergency departments and patient outcomes to determine whether any clinical risk exists.

National survey to determine number of patients who abscond, did not wait, left without being seen and self-discharged.

Audit standards

Record kept of all patients who abscond as well as those who abscond and are reported to the police service.

Key words for search

Abscond, emergency department

Appendix 1

WRH Emergency Department Adult Mental Health Triage Assessment

Worcestershire **NHS**
Acute Hospitals NHS Trust

Please attach patient sticker here or record

Name:

Hosp No:

NHS No:

D.O.B: .../.../... Male Female

	YES	NO
Does the patient have any immediate plans to harm self or other or damage <u>property</u> ?		
Is the patient obviously disturbed, threatening, agitated or unpredictable in their <u>behaviour</u> ?		
Has the patient been detained under a Mental Health Act section <u>before</u> ?		
Is the patient at risk of <u>absconding</u> ? (if yes see over)		
Do you think the patient lacks CAPACITY to make their own <u>decisions</u> ?		

CAPACITY

- The patient **understands** the need for assessment, its purpose, justification, benefits & risks.
- The patient is able to **retain** the information for long enough to make an effective decision and make a free choice without feeling under pressure from anyone.
- The patient is able to use or **weigh** the information given to make a decision.
- The patient is able to **communicate** their decision.

If any question is ticked 'YES' then:

Patient needs to be assessed within 30 minutes – triage category 2

Inform the co-ordinator +/- senior doctor	
'in hours' Bleep the Mental Health Liaison team 195	
Patient needs to be placed in the department under direct observation of staff	
If concerned about the possibility of violent behaviour bleep security 899 or Ext 39903	
Print out copy of Mental Health Matrix from additional documents section on Patient First	

Further Triage History

Emergency Department Physical Description Form – only complete if risk of absconding

DESCRIPTION

HEIGHT:

WEIGHT:

AGE:

ETHNIC ORIGIN:

HAIR COLOUR:

STYLE:

BUILD:

COMPLEXION:

EYES-COLOUR/GLASSES:

FACIAL APPEARANCE/FACIAL HAIR

MARKS/SCARS/TATTOOS:

JEWELLERY:

NOTICEABLE PHYSICAL FEATURES (eg. limp)

When to contact the Police Service for patients who abscond

This guidance does not cover those occasions when the ED has a duty to contact the police service (eg. knife wounds, gunshot wounds and serious crimes) or when the police service need to be contacted as a result of a criminal act committed in the emergency department or the contact of relatives of seriously ill patients.

The police service do not have the power to bring patients back to the emergency department (ED) against their will unless they are under arrest (ie. have committed a crime) or unless they place the patient under section 136 (authorises a police officer to remove a person to a place of safety if he believes that person is suffering from a mental illness). Section 135 allows a police officer to gain access to a patient who is believed to be mentally disordered but who is not in a public place (requires warrant from JP and an Approved Mental Health Professional, AMHP).

Before contacting the police service for patients who have absconded from the ED it is recommended that the following criteria should all be present:

- There exists a real and substantial risk to the patient if they are not brought back to the ED for medical assessment and/or treatment.
- The risk is such that action needs to be taken with urgency.
- Efforts to contact the patient by telephone have failed.
- No other person or service is able to facilitate the return or ongoing management of the patient eg. GP, SW, parent, relative.
- Both the nurse in charge and the senior doctor on duty are in agreement that contacting the police is the correct course of action.

In the case of **children** (<18yrs) who have absconded from the ED then the threshold will generally be considered to be lower for calling for help from the police service early. Any children who abscond with or without an accompanying adult should be considered a safeguarding concern unless evidence to the contrary exists.

The threshold will also be lower for those patients in whom there is reasonable evidence that they lack capacity or who may be considered vulnerable (e.g. those with dementia).

Bibliography

Clarey AJ, Cooke MW Patients who leave emergency departments without being seen: literature review and English data analysis. *Emerg Med J* 2012; 29: 617-621

O'keeffe F et al Did Not Wait Patient Management Strategy (DNW PMS) Study. *Emerg Med J* 2012; 29: 550-553

Mental Health and Drug and Alcohol Office, Mental Health for Emergency Departments – A Reference Guide. NSW Department of Health, Sydney, 2009.

<http://www0.health.nsw.gov.au/resources/mhdao/pdf/mhemergency.pdf>



**The Royal College of
Emergency Medicine**

The Royal College of Emergency Medicine
7-9 Breams Buildings

London

EC4A 1DT

Tel: +44 (0)20 7400 1999

Fax: +44 (0)20 7067 1267

www.rcem.ac.uk

Incorporated by Royal Charter, 2008

Registered Charity number 1122689

Excellence in Emergency Care